Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved	

Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory
Decision:	Approve: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00139547
Portfolio/Project Title:	Juventud colombiana liderando el cambio por la paz
Portfolio/Project Date:	2021-11-12 / 2022-12-31

Strategic	**Quality Rating:** **Highly Satisfactory**

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

○ 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.

◉ *2: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.*

○ 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con una teoría de cambio en la que se vinculan claramente cómo se espera llegar al cambio deseado a través de los productos y actividades. (Ver Join programe document sesión 4. Strategies Pág. 04)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TeoríadeCambioYPS_10296_101 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TeoríadeCambioYPS_10296_101.docx)	laura.diaz@undp.org	5/12/2022 12:45:00 AM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- ⦿ *3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan[1] and adapts at least one Signature Solution[2]. The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- ○ 2: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan[4]. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- ○ 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

El programa aporta a desafío de desarrollo de const uir resiliencia ante crisis, apuntando a las soluciones emblemáticas de gobernanza y resiliencia. En ese sentidole apunta a:
SP OUTPUT: Outcome 3: Strengthen resilience to s hocks and Crises. A su vez se alinea con los siguien tes outputs:
3.2.1. National capacities strengthened for reintegrat ion, reconciliation, peaceful management of conflict and prevention of violent extremism in response to n ational policies and priorities.
2.2.3 Capacities, functions and financing of rule of la w and national human rights institutions and system s strengthened to expand access to justice and com bat discrimination, with a focus on women and other marginalised groups.
3.3.2 Gender-responsive and risk-informed mechani sms supported to build consensus, improve social di alogue and promote peaceful, just and inclusive soci eties

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNDAF Results Group Workplan/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for global projects/strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- ⦿ *Yes*
- ◯ No

Evidence:

El Proyecto se encuentra alineado con los siguientes outcomes:
OUTPUT CPD 2021-2024:
CPD Output 3.1: The Government and territorial entities strengthen their capacities to accelerate the 2030 Agenda and the SDGs.
CPD Output 3.5: Territorial governments, particularly municipalities under categories 5 and 6, design, implement, and effectively monitor their planning tools in accordance with government guidelines, considering gender and differentiated approaches.
UNSDCF LINEA DE COOPERACION:
Output 3.1.3   Asistencia tecnica a la estrategia del gobierno nacional para la apropiacion, territorializacion y promocion de alianzas para acelerar el cumplimiento de la agenda 2030.
Output 3.5.3   Información y herramientas para caracterizaciones periódicas que permitan la evaluación del riesgo y faciliten la definición e implementación de las medidas de protección a la población priorizada.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

4. Do the project target groups leave furthest behind?

○ 3: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.

◉ *2: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.*

○ 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

Evidence:

Los grupos de interés del proyecto están claramente definidos. Para este piloto se priorizaron los territorios de Santander de Quilichao y Buenaventura a partir de sus altos niveles de violencia, como desplazamiento forzado, homicidios, desapariciones forzadas, violencia sexual, secuestros, ataques y hostigamiento; discriminación étnica y presencia institucional limitada. Lo que da cuenta de la necesidad de fortalecer las organizaciones de jóvenes como actores de desarrollo y paz. (Ver Join programe document sesión Beneficiaries Pág. 07)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

---

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

◉ *3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.*

○ 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.

○ 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

Evidence:

> El proyecto aprovecha las lecciones aprendidas por el área de Paz del PNUD en jóvenes y construcción de paz, como el acompañamiento hecho a la agenda joven del cauca, procesos de formación y construcción de dialogo juvenil, etc; acompañamientos a Colombia joven en varios procesos de formación y trabajo frente a las RES 2250, 2419 y 2535 y hemos generado productos de conocimiento tales como la caja de herramientas se juega la vida, los Estudio de caso de las Escuelas de Liderazgo Juvenil para la transformación social en el departamento de Nariño la sistematización debla experiencia de voluntarios para la paz: Juventud constructora de Paz, guía de la RES 2250 entre otros.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	infografiajuventudVF_10296_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/infografiajuventudVF_10296_105.pdf)	laura.diaz@undp.org	11/4/2021 1:40:00 AM
2	UNDP_Col_PAZ_guia2250v2_Abr19_2018_10296_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/UNDP_Col_PAZ_guia2250v2_Abr19_2018_10296_105.pdf)	laura.diaz@undp.org	11/4/2021 1:40:00 AM
3	cajadeherramientasjovenes_10296_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/cajadeherramientasjovenes_10296_105.pdf)	laura.diaz@undp.org	11/4/2021 1:40:00 AM
4	CaseESP2018_10296_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CaseESP2018_10296_105.pdf)	laura.diaz@undp.org	11/4/2021 1:41:00 AM
5	guia2250v2002_10296_105 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/guia2250v2002_10296_105.pdf)	laura.diaz@undp.org	11/4/2021 1:41:00 AM

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

○ 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)

◉ *2: Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.*

○ 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

Evidence:

Este es un Proyecto piloto entre UNFPA Y PNUD en el que durante su fase de planeación se realizaron r ondas de entrevistas y grupos focales con organizac iones como la Agenda de Paz Joven del Cauca, AC COC, e incluso con la alcaldesa de Santander de Q uilichao, que enriqueció los resultados y actividades del proyecto con la perspectiva territorial.(Ver Join p rograme document sesión Relevant Stakeholders P ág. 07)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Principled   **Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

○ 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)

◉ *2: The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)*

○ 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.

Evidence:

El proyecto busca generar capacidades para la construcción de paz y en jóvenes de Santander de Quilichao y Buenaventura. En ese sentido, cada uno de los ejes incorporan el enfoque basado en derechos. Las convocatorias comunitarias tendrán un enfoque participativo, así como los procesos de fortalecimiento de capacidades para el ejercicio de los derechos. Todos los esfuerzos del fortalecimiento de capacidades en reconciliación no solo incorporan el enfoque de Derechos Humanos, sino que buscan materializar acciones que den garantías al Ejercicio de los Derechos Humanos. (Ver Join programe document sesión Human Rights based approach. Pág. 10)

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	**File Name**	**Modified By**	**Modified On**
No documents available.			

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

○ 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)

◉ *2: A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)*

○ 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

Evidence:

> El proyecto busca cambios significativos en materia de igualdad de género. Dentro de sus actividades se priorizan la elección de organizaciones con liderazgos de mujeres, el análisis de riesgos y medidas de mitigación también tiene enfoque de género, y hay un énfasis en violencia de género en las iniciativas de innovación social (Ver Join programe document sesión Gender Mainstreaming Pág. 09)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On

No documents available.

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

○ 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)

◉ *2: The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)*

○ 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con un análisis de riesgos deter
mina las medidas de mitigación y se establece en si
stema de M&E el seguimiento a los riesgos y la eval
uación del impacto de los mismo sobre el proyecto.
El proyecto cuenta con un impacto ambiental bajo y
se tendrá en cuenta el enfoque ambiental para la pr
eparación de los (adjunto)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AnálisisdeRiesgosYPS_10296_109 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnálisisdeRiesgosYPS_10296_109.docx)	laura.diaz@undp.org	5/12/2022 12:55:00 AM

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of reports, coordination of events, trainings, workshops, meetings, conferences and/or communication materials and information dissemination. [if yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, provide the reason for the exemption in the evidence section.]

- ⦿ *Yes*
- ○ No
- ○ SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)

  - ☐ 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
  - ☐ 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
  - ☐ 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
  - ☐ 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
  - ☐ 5: Global/regional projects with no country-level activities(e.g.activities such as knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
  - ☐ 6: UNDP serves as Administrative Agent
  - ☐ 7: Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects

Evidence:

El proyecto realizo el SESP que va adjunto

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Risk Category	Risk Requirements	Document Status	Modified By	Modified On
1	YPSS ESP_10296_110 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/YPSSESP_10296_110.docx)	Low		Final	laura.diaz@undp.org	11/4/2021 1:34:00 AM

Management & Monitoring   **Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

○ 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)

◉ *2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)*

○ 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

Evidence:

El Proyecto tiene un marco de resultados, claro, fuer te y realista con los tiempos de implementación disp onibles . (Adjunto)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TeoríadeCambioYPS_10296_111 (https://intr anet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocu ments/TeoríadeCambioYPS_10296_111.doc x)	laura.diaz@undp.org	5/12/2022 12:56:00 AM

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

○ 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)

◉ *2: The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)*

○ 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

Evidence:

Este es piloto de programa conjunto entre UNFPA Y PNUD. UNFPA tiene el rol de El UNFPA de agente administrativo y agente convocante. El programa est á diseñado para mejorar la claridad sobre las funcio nes y responsabilidades de cada asociado, para ayu dar a identificar las complementariedades y la duplic ación mínima de esfuerzos, y para garantizar la resp onsabilidad mutua en la entrega de resultados (Ver Join programe document sesión Governance structu re Pág. 17)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

13. Have the project risks been identified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

○ 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)

◉ *2: Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk log based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.*

○ 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

Evidence:

Los riesgos asociados a la implementación de se han identificado de manera conjunta con nuestro socio UNFPA, se establecieron también medidas de mitigación para cada riesgo. (Ver adjunto)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AnálisisdeRiesgosYPS_10296_113 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnálisisdeRiesgosYPS_10296_113.docx)	laura.diaz@undp.org	5/12/2022 1:06:00 AM

Efficient   **Quality Rating: Exemplary**

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include, for example:
i) Using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available.
ii) Using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions.
iii) Through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
iv) Sharing resources or coordinating delivery with other projects.
v) Using innovative approaches and technologies to reduce the cost of service delivery or other types of interventions.

- ● *Yes*
- ○ No

Evidence:

> El proyecto cuenta con economía de escala que le permitirá alcanzar los resultados con eficiencia en el gasto y ahorrar en recursos humanos y capacidad de gestión de otros proyectos del área de paz y de UNFPA en territorio. Por ejemplo, los asesores y cargos del equipo técnico prestan servicios al proyecto sin estar cargado a él (Ver Join programe document sesión Fund management arrzgements Pág. 18)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- ● *3: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.*
- ○ 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- ○ 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

Evidence:

Este es un proyecto piloto con un presupuesto redu cido y acotado en el tiempo. Los costos de cada se encuentran especificados en el plan de trabajo, con desagregación por año. Los costos se estimaron a p artir de la experiencia de ambas agencias en proyec tos similares y en estos territorios (Ver Join program e document sesión Work plan and budgetg Pág. 23)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

16. Is the Country Office / Regional Hub / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- ◉ *3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)*
- ○ 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.
- ○ 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

Evidence:

Los costos de DPC y GMS fueron contemplados en el presupuesto, sumado a otros costosos de operaci ón dentro de los que se contemplarlos: costos finan cieros, de seguridad, viajes, ente otros (Ver Join pro grame document sesión Work plan and budgetg Pá g. 23).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective   **Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

17. Have targeted groups been engaged in the design of the project?

○ 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)

◉ *2: Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.*

○ 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.

○ Not Applicable

Evidence:

Durante la fase de formulación del proyecto particip aron diversas organizaciones sociales como la agen da de paz joven del Cauca, ACCOC e incluso institu cionalidad local como la alcaldía de Santander de Q uilichao, de donde surge tener un énfasis en ruralida d del proyecto. (Ver Join programe document sesión Beneficiaries y relevant stakeholders Pág. 07)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- ⦿ *Yes*
- ○ No

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con un plan de monitoreo que in cluye visitas a campo y elaboración de informes, ad emás de junta directiva que servirán para adoptar la s sugerencias hechas por el equipo de M&E. Pese a que es un monto pequeño, el proyecto sí está plane ado hacer una evaluación final porque pretende ser un piloto con resultados replicables y lecciones apre ndidas aplicables a otros contextos (Ver Join progra me document sesión8. monitoring Pág. 19)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- ⦿ *Yes*
- ○ No

Evidence:

el proyecto cuenta con un análisis transversal de ge nero que se materializa en acciones diferenciales en cada una de las actividades y resultados, producto d e eso el marcador de género es GEN2 (Ver Join pr ograme document sesión gender mainstreaming Pá g. 09)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership   **Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

20. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?

- ○ 3: National partners (or regional/global partners for regional and global projects) have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
- ◉ *2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.*
- ○ 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

Evidence:

> El proyecto fue construido en profunda relación con UNFPA y las alcaldías municipales de Santander de Quilichao y. Esta instancia será el principal aliado pa ra trabajar con las secretarías del distrito en la imple mentación (Ver Join programe document sesión Ben eficiaries y relevant stakeholders Pág. 07)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

21. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

○ *3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.*

○ 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.

○ 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out.

○ Not Applicable

Evidence:

> El proyecto cuenta con estrategias de fortalecimiento de capacidades a las instancias de construcción de paz y a servidores públicos encargados de dar garantías al goce de los derechos. Se incluyen indicadores que permiten identificar un avance en el fortalecimiento de capacidades de las organizaciones y de las entidades territoriales. (Ver Join programe document sesión Results framework Pág. 13)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

22. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?

○ *Yes*

○ No

○ Not Applicable

Evidence:

El proyecto usará las metodologías de M&E del área de paz y se articulará con las estrategias de monitoreo de otros proyectos, además de hacer uso de las herramientas de evaluación y gestión de PNUD. Además de ello, el proyecto usa los sistemas nacionales de procurement, con un plan de adquisiciones que desagrega de manera clara el responsable, el output, la activity, la descripción del gasto que contempla el proyecto (Ver Join programe document sesión 8. monitoring Pág. 19)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

23. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- ◉ *Yes*
- ○ No

Evidence:

El proyecto pretende dejar instaladas capacidades en las organizaciones de jóvenes y en las contrapartes para hacer sostenibles sus acciones. Las estrategias de comunicaciones y gestión de conocimiento tienen el objetivo de escalar los resultados y las lecciones aprendidas de este proyecto a otros territorios. (Ver Join programe document sesión8. knowledge management Pág. 20)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

QA Summary/LPAC Comments